



7 June 2024

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Ref: OIA-2023/24-0841

Dear [REDACTED]

Official Information Act request for information from Auckland Council relating to locations in West Auckland

Thank you for your Official Information Act 1982 (the Act) request of 2 May 2024 that was transferred in part to the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet (DPMC) on 9 May 2024. You requested:

“Can I have copies of all reports, memos, emails from Auckland Council, submitted to yourself [the Minister for Emergency Management and Recovery] or Ministry relating to the 27 January 2023 floods affecting the Don Buck Bridge, Don Buck Rd, Mayfair Place, Clover Drive, Meadowcroft Avenue and Lincoln Garden Close in West Auckland?”

Your request as it relates to any relevant information from Auckland Council to DPMC, including the National Emergency Management Agency (NEMA) was transferred to DPMC for response.

I have decided to release the relevant parts of the documents listed in the table below, subject to information being withheld as noted.

Item	Date	Title or Subject	Description	Decision
1	13/02/2024	FW: Clover Drive, Henderson - return to maintenance	Email	Release, some information withheld under: s9(2)(a)
2	4/03/2024	Auckland storm recovery - implementation	Slides from meeting between Auckland Council and DPMC's Cyclone Recovery Unit and Crown Infrastructure Partners.	Release relevant information
3	4/03/2024	Category 2C implementation and proposed change in approach	Briefing note for DPMC's Cyclone Recovery Unit and Crown Infrastructure Partners	Release relevant information
4	16/04/2024	RE: Orders in Council	Email with attachments	Release relevant information, some information withheld under: s9(2)(a)
5	15/04/2024	Memorandum: Blue-green Networks Clover	Attachment 01 to email of 16/04/2024	Release

Item	Date	Title or Subject	Description	Decision
		Drive – High-level overview of consenting requirements		
6	21/03/2024	Ministers' announcement on \$2m for Auckland Community projects embargoed to 4pm	Email trail.	Release, some information withheld under: s9(2)(a) s9(2)(g)(i)

As noted in the table and on the documents, some information has been withheld under the following sections of the Act:

- section 9(2)(a), to protect the privacy of individuals.
- section 9(2)(g)(i), to maintain the effective conduct of public affairs through the free and frank expression of opinion.

Where information is not relevant to your request, it has been marked as not in scope. Pages of information not relevant to your request have been removed from the documents released to you.

We have consulted Auckland Council on the release of this information. It should be noted that the Memorandum released as Item 5 was prepared as an exploration into the consents that would be likely to be required on the variety of works likely to be undertaken if the Council decided to proceed with such a project. This Memorandum should not be interpreted as an intent/commitment to construct the project as per the indicative design. Auckland Council has advised that there is no commitment to any specific design features at this stage and that any project will have to be compliant with a rigorous business casing process before proceeding to subsequent phases of delivery.

To identify information relevant to your request we have undertaken searches of documents held by NEMA under the key words of the place names you have provided. The searches were limited to searches of titles of around 6,785 documents. No relevant documents were identified from the key word searches of the titles. It would require a manual search of each of these documents to search the contents of them individually. It is possible that information is held but it is not held in a way that it we can identify the information you have requested. To do this would require substantial collation and research.

We do not believe that refining your request through consultation with you, extending the time limit for responding, or charging you would enable a more conclusive search to be undertaken. Accordingly, as your request relates to any information held by NEMA, it is refused under section 18(f) of the Act as it would require substantial collation and research to identify whether any information is held.

In making my decision, I have considered the public interest considerations in section 9(1) of the Act. No public interest has been identified that would be sufficient to outweigh the reasons for withholding that information.

You have the right to ask the Ombudsman to investigate and review my decision under section 28(3) of the Act.

This response will be published on DPMC's website during our regular publication cycle. Typically, information is released monthly, or as otherwise determined. Your personal information including name and contact details will be removed for publication.

Yours sincerely



Katrina Casey
Chief Executive Cyclone Recovery

From: [^AKLCouncil- Mace Ward](#)
To: [Anna Wilson-Farrell \(DPMC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Clover Drive, Henderson - return to maintenance
Date: Tuesday, 13 February 2024 3:37:48 pm
Attachments: [image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image001.png](#)

Kia ora Anna,

Please note below reports from the residents and our community partner (Te Kawerau a Maki) concerning maintenance and rubbish removal. This service has now been added back into the Facilities Contract routine and meets the service set by the Local Board for maintenance, typically on a fortnightly rotation and response to 'requests for service', through the council's Report a Problem process.

I will get an update from the Healthy Waters team for Clover Drive for the 'Blue Green' project noting that this is still in the planning phase. The key though is the Categorisation of the category 3 properties, in accordance with the Locally Scheme and Crown Framework to provide certainty. The identification of properties to facilitate the 2C (risk mitigation project) at Clover Drives relies on the Project Development Plan for CIP and programme.

Clover Drive is a priority site and will come through the CIP process for Project approvals. We have a Programme Team working on the 12 Blue Green Network projects that are in progress.


Tāmaki Makaurau Recovery Plan published and, on the website, – programme under each whenu, including the risk mitigation programme (e.g. Making Space for Water):

The Tāmaki Makaurau Recovery Plan sets out the aims, objectives, priorities and actions needed for recovery from the 2023 weather events.

<http://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz:80/recovery-extreme-weather-disasters/Pages/tamaki-makaurau-recovery-plan.aspx>

he waka eke noa
Ngā mihi,

Mace



Mace Ward (he/him/ia) | Deputy Group Recovery Manager
Tāmaki Makaurau Rec
Waea pūkoro / Phone: s9(2)(a)
Level 5, Te Wharau o Tamaki Auckland House, 135 Albert Street, Auckland
aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/recovery
Executive Assistant: Maggie Schwenke
Email: maggie.schwenke@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
Waea pūkoro / Phone: s9(2)(a)

Tāmaki Makaurau Recovery Office is coordinating the recovery efforts on behalf of the Auckland Council Group, New Zealand government and partners, following the extreme weather events in early 2023.

From: s9(2)(a) @tekawerau.iwi.nz
Sent: Tuesday, January 30, 2024 8:42 AM
To: Leanne Roche <Leanne.Roche@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>
Cc: Taryn Crewe <Taryn.Crewe@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>; Mace Ward <Mace.Ward@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>
Subject: RE: Clover Drive, Henderson - return to maintenance

This is great news! **The residents have already let me know the long grass has been mown and the rubbish removed.**
Thanks for following this up Leanne and for the quick response from the facilities team

From: Leanne Roche <Leanne.Roche@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>
Sent: Thursday, January 25, 2024 4:14 PM
To: s9(2)(a) @tekawerau.iwi.nz
Cc: Taryn Crewe <Taryn.Crewe@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>; Mace Ward <Mace.Ward@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>
Subject: FW: Clover Drive, Henderson - return to maintenance

Kia ora s9(2)(a)

Thank you for organising the hui with Minister Mark Mitchell at Clover Drive, Massey. As agreed, I followed up with one of the key

concerns around maintenance of the public spaces.

The Community Facilities team who oversee the maintenance contracts have confirmed that they will add the Meadowcroft Corner back into the maintenance programme. They have also agreed to remove the rubbish that has been dumped within the long grass. Please pass this good news on to Emily and thank her for raising the issue with us on behalf of her community.

Ngā mihi
Leanne

From: Leanne Roche
Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2024 3:10 PM
To: Taryn Crewe <Taryn.Crewe@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>
Cc: Mace Ward <Mace.Ward@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>
Subject: Clover Drive, Henderson - return to maintenance


Kia ora Taryn

On Monday, Mace and I accompanied Minister Mark Mitchell on visits to two of our highly impacted communities from the weather events. One of these was the area of Clover Drive, Massey.


This area is a mixture of Kainga Ora homes, private owner-occupied homes, and private rentals. All 12 of the Kainga Ora homes and 6 of the private properties were significantly damaged and are uninhabitable.

The key concerns for those residents remaining is that they feel like they now live in a “forgotten getto”. There is long grass all around, rubbish is being frequently tipped, and most of the damaged homes have been completely looted and are mess and KO homes are all boarded up. Security is becoming increasingly concerning and they feel this is because for those outside, it looks like an abandoned area.

One of the area which has been left unmaintained is a small corner pocket reserve. It looks like this could be council? Can I please have some assistance reinstating maintenance of this small patch? It would lift the spirits of the community immensely if this area returned to regular mowing. It may also help with the rat and rubbish dumping issues they are having. Residents have said they have called the council call centre to log this as an issue multiple times to no effect.



Ngā manaakitanga,



Leanne Roche (she/her)
Community & Stakeholder Lead | Community & Social Recovery
Tāmaki Makaurau Recovery Office
Waea pūkoro / Phone **S9(2)(a)**
Level 5, Te Wharau o Tāmaki Auckland House, 135 Albert Street, Auckland
aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/recovery

Tāmaki Makaurau Recovery Office is coordinating the recovery efforts on behalf of the Auckland Council Group, New Zealand government and partners, following the extreme weather events in early 2023.

Auckland storm recovery - Implementation

4 March 2024

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

Item 02



Real-life illustration: Clover Drive

- Upstream blockages, overland flowpaths, flood prone land led to catastrophic flooding and situations of intolerable risk to life
- Feasible mitigations exist



200m upstream from Clover Drive

Playground

Glen Rd

Horse float/tiny home

Don Buck bridge



Woodside Rd

Released under the Official Information Act 1982




This example is for illustrative purposes only, it implies no commitment from Auckland Council to implement the interventions shown. Any work in this area will be subject to detailed engineering investigation and approval of Crown and council business cases.

Blue-green network - conceptual



This example is for illustrative purposes only, it implies no commitment from Auckland Council to implement the interventions shown. Any work in this area will be subject to detailed engineering investigation and approval of Crown and council business cases.

Blue-green network - conceptual




Released under the Official Information Act




This example is for illustrative purposes only, it implies no commitment from Auckland Council to implement the interventions shown. Any work in this area will be subject to detailed engineering investigation and approval of Crown and council business cases.

Blue-green network - conceptual



This example is for illustrative purposes only, it implies no commitment from Auckland Council to implement the interventions shown. Any work in this area will be subject to detailed engineering investigation and approval of Crown and council business cases.



[This example is for illustrative purposes only, it implies no commitment from Auckland Council to implement the interventions shown. Any work in this area will be subject to detailed engineering investigation and approval of Crown and council business cases.]

Results of proposed approach

Bridge
and
culverts
upgraded

Barrier
road
removed

Flood prone
land
recontoured

46
intolerable
homes
removed

>70
homes'
flood risk
reduced

80-90
new safe
homes
built

Released under the Official Information Act 1982



Category 2C implementation and proposed change in approach

Briefing note for Cyclone Recovery Unit and Crown Infrastructure Partners

Auckland Recovery Office, 4 March 2024

~~Confidential~~ – for discussion purposes only

[Not in Scope]

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

[Not in Scope]

Clover Drive illustrates the challenges, and what is possible

19. On 27 January 2023, the flood prone land on Clover Drive experienced high velocity flooding at heights of 2.5m. The volume of water at Don Buck Bridge and spilling into the downstream the streets was equal to the base flow of the Waikato River as it flows through Hamilton.
20. Through initial investigations, we have identified:
 - 52 properties have been assessed as having intolerable risk to life. Thirty-five are in private ownership, of which 27 have opted into the buy-out scheme so far. Seventeen properties are owned by Kāinga Ora and therefore excluded from the scheme.
 - Another 64 homes (including Kāinga Ora properties) experience 'tolerable' flooding, including habitable floor flooding, in the surrounding streets. These will be assessed as Category 1 and are therefore outside the scope of this scheme.
 - Community risk mitigations are likely to be feasible, including infrastructure upgrades upstream, removal of a bunded road that acts as a barrier to overland flow, widening of the stream/overland flow path, and recontouring of flood prone land. The efficacy of the mitigations will depend on how many dwellings remain in situ, with building footprints limiting the range of possible interventions.

21. Neither of the two options available within the Funding Agreement will deliver an optimal outcome for this community.
22. Applying Category 3 to all the 'intolerable' properties would result in approximately 2.3 ha of residential development being converted to storm reserve, with likely no practical use for the land other than stormwater detention. The Local Board would need to make decisions about the level of servicing provided to the site (e.g. plantings and maintenance). The purchase and deconstruction process could be completed by the end of 2025, however there could be some houses remaining at risk within the storm reserve area, with eight properties not currently opted into the scheme (these owners will be contacted again and invited to opt in). Kāinga Ora would need to make decisions about future of their landholdings. With no infrastructure improvements funded, the surrounding properties would remain at risk of 'tolerable' flooding.
23. Using the existing Category 2C option, up to 27 properties could be categorised as 2C. A small number of these may be Category 3 given that there is no feasible solution for them to remain in place, or where works are needed to achieve the risk mitigations for the other homes. 2C homeowners would remain in their current situation, either in the dwellings or in their self-funded temporary accommodation while infrastructure solutions are designed, approved, and implemented (noting the MSD Temporary Accommodation Scheme is due to expire this year). Factoring in time for community consultation and resource consent processes, we could expect this project could be completed within five years from design to delivery. The eight properties who have not yet opted in would likely benefit from the community-scale interventions. If they are required for project works, the council would engage in willing buyer-willing seller or Public Works acquisition. Repairs to the flood damaged homes would be the responsibility of the homeowners/insurance companies. Because most of the dwellings would remain in place, the scope of possible interventions would be constrained by existing building footprints. Although flooding would be improved to a tolerable level, there is no certainty at this stage that flood risks would be reduced to below habitable floor levels, either for the 'intolerable' properties or the surrounding sites.
24. Applying the modified Category 2C option that we are seeking in this paper, the 27 'intolerable' properties in private ownership would be offered a voluntary buy-out. This interim acquisition of properties would allow the deconstruction of flood-damaged dwellings and enable a wider range of risk mitigation options, such as widening the stream, removing the bunded road and realigning property boundaries to enable safe redevelopment of residual land. The eight property owners who have not opted in would be followed up and invited to participate. If their properties are essential for the project, the Public Works Act process could be applied. Kāinga Ora could become a development partner to integrate the future of their land holdings into redevelopment projects. The delivery of this approach would still be expected to take five years, staged within the ten-year programme. However, at-risk people would have been given the option to leave at the start of the process rather than waiting in situ.

Appendix 1: Biggest Category 2 Risk Mitigation Projects – best information to date (all numbers are indicative)

Best information to date	Clover Dr
Total properties exposed to flooding (that will be addressed by this project)	116
Intolerable risk & opted in	27
Intolerable risk and not opted in	8
Intolerable risk and owned by Kāinga Ora	17
Other properties with flooding	64
Total resultant developable land (ha)	3.9
New land holding (ha)	1.8
Existing Kāinga Ora land holding (ha)	2.1
Indication of proposed physical works	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Upgrade capacity of Don Buck Rd Bridge • Duplicate Glen Road pipeline (70m) • Duplicate Don Buck Road pipeline (240m) • Earthworks and landscaping blue-green corridor (250m / 10000m²) • Remove Lincoln Garden Close and regrade landform to resolve obstruction • Provide footpath / cycleway and other community / amenity assets.

[Not in Scope]

From: Andrew Chin
To: ^AKLCouncil; Mace Ward; Alison Newbald [DPMC]; Nicholas Vigar
Cc: Heidi Baillie; Jack Campbell; Anna Wilson-Farrell [DPMC]; Gareth Leak [DPMC]; Fiona Sprott
Subject: RE: Orders in Council
Date: Tuesday, 16 April 2024 7:05:36 am
Attachments: image001.png
image002.png
image003.png
image004.png
17072701_174_Clover Drive High-level planning summary_0.pdf

[Not in Scope]

You don't often get email from andrew.chin@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz. [Learn why this is important](#)

Hello Alison,

Please find attached the planning assessments for the four projects to mitigate the flood risks for the category 2C properties in Auckland (The numbers of 2C properties and estimated costs are detailed in the table Mace sent below)

The projects are:

[Not in Scope]

- Clover Drive (Ranui)

[Not in Scope]

Overall, as bundled as a consent for each project area, they would likely be a Non-Complying Activity or Discretionary Activity under the Auckland Unitary Plan, particularly in relation to the works in the Coastal Marine Areas under the Special Ecological Area overlay.

Based on our previous experience obtaining these types of Consents for this type of project, we would expect the preparation and processing of the resource consents to take approximately 18 months - 2 years, longer if there were appeals, the consenting is a significant risk for achieving the time frames Mace shared below.

Despite the high activity status of this type of project, the conditions that get applied are often generic and similar to previous projects.

An Order in Council process could significantly reduce the timeframes for delivery of these projects if we were able to deliver the whole project under that authorisation.

Ngā mihi

Andrew Chin

Andrew Chin IPENZ Chartered Member C.WEM MCIWEM
Head of Healthy Waters Strategy | Executive Director Special Projects-Watercare
Mobile: s9(2)(a) | Fax +64 9 262 5713
Auckland Council, Level 15, 135 Albert St, Auckland

Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri
✓	WFH	✓	✓	WFH

In the Watercare Office = ✓

In the Auckland Council Office = ✓

Working from home = WFH

Visit our website: www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

The correspondence above is carried out on a "without prejudice basis", any agreements and/or decisions are subject to formal approval with appropriate delegated authority as defined in the current version of Auckland Councils' Officer Delegations Register.

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

[Not in Scope]

Blue-green Networks Clover Drive – High-level overview of consenting requirements

Date:	15 April 2024	Jacobs New Zealand Limited
Project name:	Blue-green Networks Clover Drive	Carlaw Park
Project no:	IZ072701.174	12-16 Nicholls Lane, Parnell
Attention:	Andrew Chin, Clarke McKinney	Auckland 1010
Company:	Healthy Waters	PO Box 9806, Newmarket
Prepared by:	Therese Malcon	Auckland 1149
Copies to:	Karyn Sinclair (Jacobs), Nick Endeacott (Healthy Waters), Leslie Lai (Healthy Waters)	New Zealand
		T +64 9 928 5500

1. Executive summary

Jacobs have been engaged to prepare a high-level planning assessment for the proposed Blue-green Networks – Clover Drive Project. In summary, the four subprojects will require resource consent with the overall activity status of:

- **Non-complying Activity** - Don Buck Bridge, Glen Road culvert and the works surrounding Mayfair Place (includes new 'Swanson Road culvert and/or Don Buck Road' culvert).
- **Restricted Discretionary Activity** - Blue-green corridor (between Mayfair Place and Lincoln Garden Close) and Lincoln Garden Close.

The projects identified with an overall Non-Complying Activity status and the Blue-green corridor project are more than likely to be notified and are all considered to be complex in nature.

The proposed works surrounding Lincoln Garden Close are unlikely to be notified and dependent on the final works resource consents for earthworks and/or tree only consents could be sought for this subproject via the streamlined resource consents team.

2. Blue-green Networks Clover Drive

This project is made up of five sub-projects and these are individually addressed below.

- Don Buck Bridge
- Glen Road culvert
- Works surrounding Mayfair Place (includes new 'Swanson Road culvert and/or Don Buck Road' culvert)
- Blue-green corridor (between Mayfair Place and Lincoln Garden Close)
- Lincoln Garden Close

3. Description of Works

3.1 Don Buck Bridge

The proposed works to Don Buck bridge may include the following as illustrated in Figure 1.

- Installation of a debris trap upstream of the Don Buck Road bridge.
 - It is assumed that the debris trap will span 15m across the stream, 4m posts will be embedded, 4m above ground with 1m spacing across the stream channel.

- Final location to be confirmed but will be located upstream of bridge.
- A (permanent) maintenance access for a truck and excavator will be required for ongoing removal of debris.
- Replacement of Don Buck Bridge, this may include:
 - Either online or offline replacement, one option for offline replacement is shown in the figure below (green line).
 - It is assumed that the bridge will be the same height as the existing bridge, but the bridge span is likely to be larger (dependent on offline/online replacement option).
 - This option seeks to widen the stream below Don Buck bridge.
 - It is assumed that the bridge piles will be located outside of the bed of the stream but will be located on the banks of the stream.
 - Bridge span and number of bridge piles within the stream bed to be confirmed. At a minimum it will be a two-way bridge which may include a pedestrian and/or cycleway.
 - Maintain existing soffit (underside) level.
 - Relocate existing utility services as part of the bridge replacement (water, wastewater, gas, telecoms etc).
 - Accommodate overhead powerlines and power poles. This may require relocation of power poles dependent on the preferred bridge replacement option (online/offline).
 - Addition of speed warning signs.
 - Re-alignment of the northern Don Buck Road bridge approach.
 - Upgrade or re-align the Don Buck Road / Glen Road / Woodside Road intersection. This may include a roundabout and/or raising of the intersection to achieve a minimum RL of 11.0m 'bund' to prevent breakout.
 - Amenity improvements including planting and footpaths etc.
- This option will likely require vegetation clearance within the riparian area/margin, within the SEA-Terrestrial Overlay and may involve alteration/removal of street trees and trees within the Open Space Zone.




Figure 1: Initiative works to Don Buck Bridge (Source: Healthy Waters)

3.2 Glen Road Culvert

The proposed works to Glen Road Culvert may include the following as illustrated in Figure 2.

- Installation of a new /duplicated culvert in parallel to the existing Glen Road culvert. This will include:
 - An upgraded inlet headwall to accommodate the existing culvert and the proposed new culvert.
 - A new / duplicated culvert at least 900mm in diameter from the existing tributary discharging via a new outfall to Swanson Stream.
 - The indicative construction methodology for this culvert is trenchless underneath Glen Road and then open cut trench through Don Buck Corner Reserve to the new outfall.
- This option will likely require vegetation clearance within the riparian area/margin, within the SEA-Terrestrial Overlay and may involve alteration/removal of street trees and trees within the Open Space Zone.
- It is likely that filling of the low-level properties located at 2, 2A, 2B Glen Road, 18 Don Buck Road and 1 and 3 Sabot Place will be required either as part of the Don Buck Bridge portion of works or the Glen Road culvert.




Figure 3: Indicative works surrounding Mayfair Place (Source: Healthy Waters)

3.4 Blue-green corridor (between Mayfair Place and Lincoln Garden Close)

The proposed works include the construction of a 40m wide 'blue-green corridor' from Mayfair Crescent connecting to Paramuka Stream which is to the east as shown in Figure 4. The base flow for this will be from the upstream culvert.




Figure 4: Indicative works blue-green corridor works (Source: Healthy Waters)

3.5 Lincoln Garden Close

The following works surrounding Lincoln Garden Close include the following as illustrated in Figure 5.

- Alteration to Lincoln Garden Close, including:
 - Creation of a cul-de-sac at the northern end (orange circle).
 - Remove road formation for the southern end (green box).
 - Remove the southern access from Universal Drive and create a stop road.
- Construction of a pedestrian / cycle bridge over the 'blue green corridor' that is being created as discussed above under Section 2.4.
- Existing utility services (water and wastewater etc.) may be re-located and/or provision will be made to ensure the existing utility services will remain.




Figure 5: Indicative works to Lincoln Garden Close (Source: Healthy Waters)

4. Approvals Required

Based on the limited information provided to date, a review of the relevant provisions of the Auckland Unitary Plan (Operative in Part) (AUP)(OP), National Environmental Standards for Freshwater (NES-F) and the National Environmental Standard for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health (NES-CS), the potential resource consent triggers are outlined below.

Table 1 identifies the consents required for each of the sub-projects outlined above and Table 2 identifies a number of permitted activities that may be relevant.

Table 1: Consents required

Sub-projects	Consents required
Don Buck Bridge	<p><u>Regional Plan [rp]</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rule E3.4.1(A5): Depositing any substance for the purposes of habitat enhancement or scientific research is a Restricted Discretionary activity (within a SEA-Terrestrial Overlay) under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E3.4.1(A10): Channel clearance less than 100m complying with the standards in E3.6.1.5 is a Discretionary activity (within a SEA-Terrestrial Overlay) under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E3.4.1(A11): Channel clearance more than 100m is a Non-complying activity (within a SEA-Terrestrial Overlay) under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E3.4.1(A23): Replacement, upgrading or extension of existing structures complying with the standards in E3.6.1.12 is a Restricted Discretionary activity (within a SEA-Terrestrial Overlay) under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E3.4.1(A29): Bridges or pipe bridges complying with the standards in E3.6.1.16 is a Discretionary activity (within a SEA-Terrestrial Overlay) under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E7.4.1(A28): The diversion of groundwater caused by any excavation, (including trench) or tunnel that does not meet the permitted activity standards or not otherwise listed is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.3.3.1(A77): Vegetation alteration or removal within the riparian area and SEA-Terrestrial Overlay that does not comply with Standards E26.3.5.1 to E26.3.5.4 is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.5.3.2(A102): General earthworks greater than 10,000m² up to 50,000m² where land has a slope less than 10 degrees outside the Sediment Control Protect Area other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.5.3.2(A103): General earthworks greater than 50,000m² where land has a slope less than 10 degrees outside the Sediment Control Protect Area other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.5.3.2(A107): Earthworks greater than 2,500m² within the Sediment Control Protection Area other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP).

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rule E26.6.3.1(A118): Earthworks within a SEA-Terrestrial Overlay greater than 2,500m² or 2,500m³ is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP). <p><u>District Plan [dp]</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rule E25.4.1(A2): Construction noise and vibration that does not comply with the relevant permitted activity standards is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.4.3.1(A88): Works within the protected root zone of Trees in Roads or Open Space Zone trees not otherwise provided for is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ E26.4.3.1(A92): Tree alteration or removal of any tree greater than 4m in height and/or greater than 400mm in girth is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.5.3.1(A97): General earthworks greater than 2500m² other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.5.3.1(A97A): General earthworks greater than 2500m³ other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule C1.9(2): An activity that is classed as a permitted, controlled or restricted discretionary activity but that does not comply with one or more of the standards applying to that activity is a restricted discretionary activity unless otherwise specified by a rule applying to the particular activity. The proposed streamworks activities will likely result in non-compliance with the riparian yard earthworks limits under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E36.4.1(A56): All other infrastructure in natural hazard areas (not otherwise provided for in Chapter E36) is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP). <p>Overall, activity status likely to be Non-Complying.</p>
<p>Glen Road culvert</p>	<p><u>Regional plan [rp]</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rule E3.4.1(A33): Culverts or fords more than 30m in length when measured parallel to the direction of water flow is a Non-complying activity (within a SEA-Terrestrial Overlay) under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E3.4.1(A39): Stormwater outfall complying with the standards in E3.6.1.14 is a Discretionary activity (within a SEA-Terrestrial Overlay) under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E3.4.1(A23): Replacement, upgrading or extension of existing structures complying with the standards in E3.6.1.12 is a Restricted Discretionary activity (within a SEA-Terrestrial Overlay) under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E7.4.1(A28): The diversion of groundwater caused by any excavation, (including trench) or tunnel that does not meet the permitted activity standards or not otherwise listed is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.3.3.1(A77): Vegetation alteration or removal within the riparian area and SEA-Terrestrial Overlay that does not comply with Standards E26.3.5.1 to E26.3.5.4 is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.5.3.2(A107): Earthworks greater than 2,500m² within the Sediment Control Protection Area other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor

	<p>infrastructure upgrading is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rule E26.6.3.1(A118): Earthworks within a SEA-Terrestrial Overlay greater than 2,500m² or 2,500m³ is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP). <p><u>District plan [dp]</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rule E25.4.1(A2): Construction noise and vibration that does not comply with the relevant permitted activity standards is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.4.3.1(A88): Works within the protected root zone of Trees in Roads or Open Space Zone trees not otherwise provided for is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ E26.4.3.1(A92): Tree alteration or removal of any tree greater than 4m in height and/or greater than 400mm in girth is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.5.3.1(A97): General earthworks greater than 2500m² other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.5.3.1(A97A): General earthworks greater than 2500m³ other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E36.4.1(A56): All other infrastructure in natural hazard areas (not otherwise provided for in Chapter E36) is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP). <p>Overall, activity status likely to be Non-Complying.</p>
<p>Mayfair Place</p>	<p><u>Regional plan [rpl]</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rule E3.4.1(A49): New reclamation or drainage, including filling over a piped stream is a Non-complying activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E3.4.1(A33): Culverts or fords more than 30m in length when measured parallel to the direction of water flow is a Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E7.4.1(A28): The diversion of groundwater caused by any excavation, (including trench) or tunnel that does not meet the permitted activity standards or not otherwise listed is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.3.3.1(A77): Vegetation alteration or removal within the riparian area that does not comply with Standards E26.3.5.1 to E26.3.5.4 is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.5.3.2(A102): General earthworks greater than 10,000m² up to 50,000m² where land has a slope less than 10 degrees outside the Sediment Control Protect Area other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Regulation 57 – Reclamation of the bed of any river is a discretionary activity under the NES-F. ▪ Regulation 71 – The placement, use, alteration, extension, or reconstruction of a culvert in, on, over, or under the bed of a rivers is a discretionary activity if it does not comply with any of the conditions in regulation 70(2) of the NES-F.

	<p><u>District plan [dp]</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rule E25.4.1(A2): Construction noise and vibration that does not comply with the relevant permitted activity standards is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.4.3.1(A88): Works within the protected root zone of Trees in Roads not otherwise provided for is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ E26.4.3.1(A92): Tree alteration or removal of any tree greater than 4m in height and/or greater than 400mm in girth within the road reserve is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.5.3.1(A97): General earthworks greater than 2500m² other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.5.3.1(A97A): General earthworks greater than 2500m³ other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule C1.9(2): An activity that is classed as a permitted, controlled or restricted discretionary activity but that does not comply with one or more of the standards applying to that activity is a restricted discretionary activity unless otherwise specified by a rule applying to the particular activity. The proposed streamworks activities will likely result in non-compliance with the riparian yard earthworks limits under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E36.4.1(A56): All other infrastructure in natural hazard areas (not otherwise provided for in Chapter E36) is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP). <p>Overall, activity status likely to be Non-Complying.</p>
<p>Blue-green corridor</p>	<p><u>Regional plan [rp]</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rule E3.4.1(A5): Depositing any substance for the purposes of habitat enhancement or scientific research is a Restricted Discretionary activity (within a SEA-Terrestrial Overlay) under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E7.4.1(A28): The diversion of groundwater caused by any excavation, (including trench) or tunnel that does not meet the permitted activity standards or not otherwise listed is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.5.3.2(A102): General earthworks greater than 10,000m² up to 50,000m² where land has a slope less than 10 degrees outside the Sediment Control Protect Area other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.5.3.2(A103): General earthworks greater than 50,000m² where land has a slope less than 10 degrees outside the Sediment Control Protect Area other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.5.3.2(A107): Earthworks greater than 2,500m² within the Sediment Control Protection Area other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP). <p><u>District plan [dp]</u></p>

- Rule E25.4.1(A2): Construction noise and vibration that does not comply with the relevant permitted activity standards is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP).
- Rule E26.4.3.1(A88): Works within the protected root zone of Trees in Roads not otherwise provided for is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP).
- E26.4.3.1(A92): Tree alteration or removal of any tree greater than 4m in height and/or greater than 400mm in girth within the road reserve is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP).
- Rule E26.5.3.1(A97): General earthworks greater than 2500m² other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP).
- Rule E26.5.3.1(A97A): General earthworks greater than 2500m³ other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP).
- Rule E36.4.1(A41): Diverting the entry or exit point, piping or reducing the capacity of any part of an overland flow path is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP).

Overall, activity status likely to be Restricted Discretionary.

Lincoln garden close

Regional plan [rp]

- Rule E7.4.1(A28): The diversion of groundwater caused by any excavation, (including trench) or tunnel that does not meet the permitted activity standards or not otherwise listed is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP).
- Rule E26.3.3.1(A77): Vegetation alteration or removal within the riparian area that does not comply with Standards E26.3.5.1 to E26.3.5.4 is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP).
- Rule E26.5.3.2(A102): General earthworks greater than 10,000m² up to 50,000m² where land has a slope less than 10 degrees outside the Sediment Control Protect Area other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP).
- Rule E26.5.3.2(A103): General earthworks greater than 50,000m² where land has a slope less than 10 degrees outside the Sediment Control Protect Area other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP).
- Rule E26.5.3.2(A107): Earthworks greater than 2,500m² within the Sediment Control Protection Area other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP).

District plan [dp]

- Rule E25.4.1(A2): Construction noise and vibration that does not comply with the relevant permitted activity standards is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP).
- Rule E26.4.3.1(A88): Works within the protected root zone of Trees in Roads not otherwise provided for is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP).

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ E26.4.3.1(A92): Tree alteration or removal of any tree greater than 4m in height and/or greater than 400mm in girth within the road reserve is a Restricted Discretionary activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.5.3.1(A97): General earthworks greater than 2500m² other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.5.3.1(A97A): General earthworks greater than 2500m³ other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E36.4.1(A56): All other infrastructure in natural hazard areas (not otherwise provided for in Chapter E36) is a Restricted Discretionary Activity under the AUP(OP). <p>Overall, activity status likely to be Restricted Discretionary.</p>
--	---

Table 2: Permitted activities

Permitted Activities	
Groundwater	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rule E7.4.1(A17): Dewatering or ground level control associated with a groundwater diversion permitted under the Unitary Plan is a Permitted activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E7.4.1(A27): Diversion of groundwater caused by any excavation (including trench) or tunnel is a Permitted activity under the AUP(OP).
Vegetation / Tree Alteration or Removal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rule E26.3.3.1(A76): Vegetation alteration or removal within the riparian area and SEA-Terrestrial Overlay that complies with Standards E26.3.5.1 to E26.3.5.4 is a Permitted activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.4.3.1(A87): Works within the protected root zone that comply with Standard E26.4.5.2 is a Permitted activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.4.3.1(A91): Tree alteration or removal of any tree less than 4m in height and/or less than 400mm in girth is a Permitted activity under the AUP(OP).
Earthworks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rule E26.5.3.1(A95): General earthworks up to 2500m² other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Permitted Activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.5.3.1(A96): General earthworks up to 2500m³ other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Permitted Activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.5.3.2(A101): General earthworks up to 10,000m² where land has a slope less than 10 degrees outside the Sediment Control Protection Area other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Permitted Activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.5.3.2(A105): Earthworks up to 2,500m² within the Sediment Control Protection Area other than for maintenance, repair, renewal, minor infrastructure upgrading is a Permitted Activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.6.3.1(A116): Other earthworks up to 10m² and 5m³ within a SEA-Terrestrial Overlay is a Permitted activity under the AUP(OP). ▪

Temporary laydown areas and storage of materials	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rule E40.4.1(A20): Temporary laydown areas in place for up to 24 months is a Permitted Activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E36.4.1(A28): Storage of goods and materials in the 1 per cent AEP floodplain is a Permitted activity under the AUP(OP).
Culverts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Regulation 70 - The placement, use, alteration, extension, or reconstruction of a culvert in, on, over, or under the bed of a rivers is a permitted activity if it complies with the conditions in regulation 70(2) of the NES-F.
Installation of new underground pipelines (water, wastewater and stormwater)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rule E26.2.3.1(A49): Underground pipelines and ancillary structures for the conveyance of water, wastewater and stormwater (including above ground ancillary structures associated with underground pipelines) is a Permitted activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E26.2.3.1(A57): Ventilation facilities, drop shafts and manholes is a Permitted activity under the AUP(OP).
Road network activities ¹	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rule E26.2.3.2(A67): Construction, operation, use, maintenance and repair of road network activities is a Permitted activity under the AUP(OP).
Infrastructure in natural hazard areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rule E36.4.1(A54): Infrastructure within roads or the Strategic Transport Corridor Zone in areas listed in the heading above is a Permitted Activity under the AUP(OP).
Pedestrian bridge over a stream (Lincoln Garden Close only)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rule E3.4.1(A29): Bridges or pipe bridges complying with the standards in E3.6.1.16 is a Permitted Activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E36.4.1(A34): New structures and buildings (and external alterations to existing buildings) with a gross floor area up to 10m² within the 1 per cent annual exceedance probability (AEP) floodplain that comply with standard E36.6.1.9 is a Permitted Activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E36.4.1(A35): New structures and buildings designed to accommodate flood tolerant activities up to 100m² gross floor area within the 1 per cent annual exceedance probability (AEP) floodplain is a Permitted Activity under the AUP(OP).
Flood mitigation works in natural hazard areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rule E36.4.1(A33): Construction of other land drainage works, stormwater management devices or flood mitigation works in the 1 per cent annual exceedance probability (AEP) floodplain is a Permitted Activity under the AUP(OP). ▪ Rule E36.4.1(A40): Diverting the entry or exit point, piping or reducing the capacity of any part of an overland flow path is a Permitted Activity under the AUP(OP).

¹ Road infrastructure and transport services provided within the road including: • footpaths, footways and footbridges, bridges for roads, tunnels, retaining walls for roads both above and below the road; • road verges and berms; • site access including vehicle crossings; • road carriageways; • road pavements; • cycle facilities; • road lighting and support structures; • traffic operation and safety signs, direction signs, road name signs; road safety devices including interactive warning signs, road markings, rumble strips, barriers, fences, speed tables and speed cushions, traffic separators, bus friendly vertical deflection devices; • ancillary equipment and structures associated with public transport systems including seats, shelters, real time information systems and ticketing facilities, bicycle storage and cabinets; • traffic control devices including traffic islands, pedestrian crossings and roundabouts and intersection controls, traffic and cycle monitoring devices, traffic signals and support structures, cabinets and ancillary equipment associated with traffic signals; • devices and structures to implement regulatory controls (no-stopping, no-overtaking, parking control, buslane controls, vehicle restrictions) including speed limit and parking restriction signs, parking meters and pay and display kiosks, speed cameras and red light/traffic cameras and on street parking areas; • road drainage devices including culverts, sub-soils, catchpits, watertables, manholes, inlets, outlets, flumes; • scour and erosion control devices; • stormwater management devices including rain gardens, wetlands, stormwater treatment areas and ponds; and • noise attenuation walls or fences; • devices associated with intelligent transport systems including vehicle detection systems (electronic vehicle identification, and infra-red vehicle occupancy counters), lane control signals, ramp signals, variable messaging signs, CCTV cameras, incident detection, emergency telephones, cables and ducting.

Assumptions:

- The approvals outlined above are only indicative and subject to change as further design is provided.
- Approvals required under other Acts (Wildlife Act, Heritage etc.) have not been considered in the rules assessment.
- It is assumed that all the projects will comply with Healthy Waters Network Discharge Consent.
- There are no natural inland wetlands present within or within 100m of the project area.
- Based on a review of the aerials dating back to 1940, there is no evidence of any HAIL activities within the general project area however, there may be unknown source of fill used which is captured by HAIL activity G5. Further information and/or testing will be required to determine this. It is therefore assumed that the NES-CS is not relevant for the purposes of this high-level review.

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

5. Timeframes

The indicative processing timeframes for the projects are:

Sub-projects	Resource consenting processing timeframes
Don Buck Bridge	<p>Overall activity status (Non-complying)</p> <p>Non-notification – 6-12 months processing time to notification².</p> <p>Limited notification – 2 to 6 months from notification (variable dependent on number of submissions and their position).</p> <p>Public notification – 6 months from notification.</p>
Glen Road culvert	<p>Overall activity status (Non-complying)</p> <p>Non-notification – 6-12 months processing time to notification.</p> <p>Limited notification – 2 to 6 months from notification (variable dependent on number of submissions and their position).</p> <p>Public notification – 6 months from notification.</p>
Mayfair Place	<p>Overall activity status (Non-complying)</p> <p>Non-notification – 6-12 months processing time to notification.</p> <p>Limited notification – 2 to 6 months from notification (variable dependent on number of submissions and their position).</p> <p>Public notification – 6 months from notification.</p>
Blue-green corridor	<p>Overall activity status (Restricted discretionary)</p> <p>Non-notification – 3 to 6 months processing time to notification.</p> <p>Limited notification – 2 to 6 months from notification (variable dependent on number of submissions and their position).</p> <p>Public notification – 6 months from notification.</p>
Lincoln garden close	<p>Overall activity status (Restricted discretionary)</p> <p>Streamlined resource consent (earthworks or tree only consent) – 3 months.</p> <p>Non-notification – 3 to 6 months processing time to notification.</p> <p>Limited notification – 2 to 6 months from notification (variable dependent on number of submissions and their position).</p> <p>Public notification – 6 months from notification.</p>
Other Acts	

² This timeframe is based on current processing timeframes that the Jacobs Planning Team are seeking on Healthy Waters resource consent applications for bundled (land use and streamworks) consent applications.

Memorandum

Wildlife Act	Up to 9 months.
HNZPT	40 working days post lodgment of Authority Application.

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

From: [Tom Mansell](#)
To: [Anna Wilson-Farrell \[DPMC\]](#); [AKL Council: Mace Ward](#)
Cc: [Diane Sutherland](#)
Subject: RE: Ministers announcement on \$2m for Auckland Community projects embargoed to 4pm
Date: Thursday, 18 April 2024 3:38:29 pm
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)

Kia ora Anna,

I will have a update to you shortly

Ngā mihi

Tom Mansell | Te Upoko o ngā Putanga Toitū | Head of Sustainable Outcomes
Healthy Waters | Infrastructure and Environmental Services

Mobile: [s9\(2\)\(a\)](#)

Auckland Council, Level 17, Auckland House, 135 Albert Street, Auckland 1010

From: Anna Wilson-Farrell [DPMC] <Anna.WilsonFarrell@dpmc.govt.nz>
Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2024 1:04 PM
To: Mace Ward <Mace.Ward@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>; Tom Mansell <Tom.Mansell@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>
Cc: Diane Sutherland <diane.sutherland@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>
Subject: RE: Ministers announcement on \$2m for Auckland Community projects embargoed to 4pm

Thanks!

From: Mace Ward <Mace.Ward@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>
Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2024 1:03 PM
To: Tom Mansell <Tom.Mansell@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>
Cc: Anna Wilson-Farrell [DPMC] <Anna.WilsonFarrell@dpmc.govt.nz>; Diane Sutherland <diane.sutherland@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>
Subject: RE: Ministers announcement on \$2m for Auckland Community projects embargoed to 4pm
Importance: High

Kia ora Tom,

Please meet Anna

I am aware that the Agreement is yet to be fully implemented but could you (and the team) provide a short update on progress to date (planning of the programme) and the forecast of activities over the next 3 – 6 months.


Happy to discuss.

Thank you

he waka eke noa

Ngā mihi,

Mace



Mace Ward (he/him/ia) | Deputy Group Recovery Manager
Tāmaki Makaurau Recovery Office
 Waea pūkoro / Phone: [s9\(2\)\(a\)](#)
 Level 5, Te Wharau o Tamaki Auckland House, 135 Albert Street, Auckland
aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/recovery

Executive Assistant: Maggie Schwenke
 Email: maggie.schwenke@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
 Waea pūkoro / Phone: [s9\(2\)\(a\)](#)

Tāmaki Makaurau Recovery Office is coordinating the recovery efforts on behalf of the Auckland Council Group, New Zealand government and partners, following the extreme weather events in early 2023.

From: Anna Wilson-Farrell [DPMC] <Anna.WilsonFarrell@dpmc.govt.nz>
Sent: Wednesday, April 17, 2024 1:01 PM

To: Mace Ward <Mace.Ward@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>

Subject: FW: Ministers announcement on \$2m for Auckland Community projects embargoed to 4pm

Kia ora Mace,

I hope all is well with you.

I wondered if you could direct me to someone in the team that I could get an update from on this fund – it's something we'll need to put a para or two in the Ministers report on this week- a month post announcement etc.

Ngā mihi

Anna

From: Katrina Casey [DPMC] <Katrina.Casey@dpmc.govt.nz>

Sent: Thursday, March 21, 2024 1:53 PM

To: ^AKLCouncil: Phil Wilson <Phil.Wilson@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>; Mat Tucker <mat.tucker@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>;

^AKLCouncil: Mace Ward <Mace.Ward@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>

Cc: Flood Resilience (DPMC) <CRUFloodResilience@dpmc.govt.nz>; Anna Wilson-Farrell [DPMC]

<Anna.WilsonFarrell@dpmc.govt.nz>; Helen Hurst [DPMC] <Helen.Hurst@dpmc.govt.nz>; Graham.Mitchell

<Graham.Mitchell@crowinfrastructure.govt.nz>; Martin Smith <martin.smith@crowinfrastructure.govt.nz>; Luke Chivers [DPMC]

<Luke.Chivers@dpmc.govt.nz>

Subject: Ministers announcement on \$2m for Auckland Community projects embargoed to 4pm

[UNCLASSIFIED]

Tēnā koe

Thank you for the work your team have done in preparing a funding proposal for the Local Government Flood Resilience Fund to Accelerate Aucklanders Storm Readiness. Our request for a proposal of this nature came directly from the Ministers visit to Auckland to discuss cyclone recovery matters, in particular his discussions with members of communities from the Clover Drive area.

I am pleased to inform you that the Minister for Emergency Management and Recovery has considered your teams proposal and agreed to the use of \$2 million to fund communities to get storm ready for winter 2024 and improve future resilience. A summary of the approved project is as follows:

Funding decisions for Auckland Council

Initiative title	Funding approved
Community grants scheme for physical improvements	\$400,000
Operational maintenance and support	\$1,400,000
Flood-ready homes and businesses campaign	\$200,000
TOTAL	\$2,000,000

Your team (Mace) has provided an indication of the types of projects contemplated with this fund, and the Minister has agreed to the direction outlined. Your team has proposed that the projects above are complete by December 2024. To be eligible for this funding, community projects will need to meet the following criteria:

- Support recovery from the NIWE;
- Improve community safety and confidence before winter;
- Protect residential properties; and
- Are community focussed or led.

Next steps

Crown Infrastructure Partners (CIP) will provide your team with a project funding agreement to review and work with you to develop a project schedule which will set out the key milestones for funding to be released. Your relationship manager with CIP will be Martin Smith. Martin will be in touch with your team to discuss the next steps to give effect to the funding, including planning, monitoring, and reporting on delivery.

Please contact Anna Wilson-Farrell, Executive Director Strategic Policy, and Legislation, on **s9(2)(a)** or at CRUFloodResilience@dpmc.govt.nz if you have further questions.

The Minister is releasing a media release about this funding, at 4pm today. Until then the announcement of this decision is embargoed.

Final release available at:

https://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/PA2403/S00115/additional-funding-for-auckland-flood-resilience.htm?_gl=1*1gn4pa8*_ga*MTk4MjM1MjY1LjE2ODQ3OTYyNzU.*_ga_GGVMM3MB82*MTcxNjQzMDEwNS44LjEuMTcxNjQzMDE4Ny4xNi4wLjA

[_gl=1*1gn4pa8*_ga*MTk4MjM1MjY1LjE2ODQ3OTYyNzU.*_ga_GGVMM3MB82*MTcxNjQzMDEwNS44LjEuMTcxNjQzMDE4Ny4xNi4wLjA](https://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/PA2403/S00115/additional-funding-for-auckland-flood-resilience.htm?_gl=1*1gn4pa8*_ga*MTk4MjM1MjY1LjE2ODQ3OTYyNzU.*_ga_GGVMM3MB82*MTcxNjQzMDEwNS44LjEuMTcxNjQzMDE4Ny4xNi4wLjA)

The actual media release may change but at this stage is as follows:

s9(2)(g)(i)



Ngā mihi,

Katrina

Katrina Casey
Chief Executive Cyclone Recovery

P +64 (4) 4956715

M s9(2)(a)

E katrina.casey@dpmc.govt.nz

