Community Forum Private Bag 4999 Christchurch 8140

Meeting notes for the meeting of the CERA Community Forum Thursday 6 November 2014, 6pm Cambridge Room, Canterbury Club, Christchurch

## Present:

Community Forum members:

Maria Godinet-Watts, Ruth Jones, Lesley Murdoch, Jocelyn Papprill, Faye Parfitt, Emma Twaddell, Rachel Vogan, John Wong, Darren Wright

## Apologies

Richard Ballantyne, Leah Carr, Weng Kei Chen, Gill Cox, Tom McBrearty, Deborah McCormick, John Peet, Patricia Siataga

### Chair

Darren Wright

#### In Attendance

Simon Battrick, Development Manager, Christchurch City Council Carmen Hammond, General Manager, Effective Government Services, CERA Mike Scott, Project Manager, Ministerial and Executive Services, CERA s9(2)(a) Advisor, Ministerial and Executive Services, CERA

### Agenda

### 1. Nga Puna Wai, South West Sports Hub

Simon Battrick from the Christchurch City Council (CCC) presented to the Forum about the proposed Nga Puna Wai South West Sports Hub. The presentation is attached as Attachment A.

- CCC noted that the media had been positive about the proposed sports hub.
- The existing transport issues in South West Christchurch were acknowledged and it was noted that CCC is fully aware of these issues.
- The Forum asked about the potential impact the development would have on Show Week. The CCC noted that A&P had expressed concern about a lack of parking, grazing and the impact on the potential growth of the A&P Show. It was emphasised that CCC is working closely with A&P and believe they would be able to cater for A&P's growth-related needs. It was noted that A&P have no legal right to the land proposed for the sports hub.

- It was noted that the sports hub would add recreational value, for example walkways, an ecotrail and a fruit forest, as well as sporting value to the area. The CCC emphasised the importance of creating a facility that the local community wants to use.
- The Forum asked about storm water retention facilities. It was noted that the storm water facilities that currently existed would remain.
- The Forum raised a concern that those who are supportive of the facility may not make many submissions, while those who are not supportive of the facility may be 'noisy'. CCC is working hard to ensure that all parties understand the proposal and make submissions. It was also noted that local sporting groups will be working hard to make their submissions.
- The presenter noted that care would be taken to preserve the area, including protecting a species of frog that lives in the area.
- The Forum asked about how this proposed sports hub links with the proposed, privately funded sport facility in Yaldhurst. CCC noted that it supports the proposal and that the investor had been approached, but was not interested in collaborating with the proposed Nga Puna Wai sports hub or Metro Sports Facility projects.
- The Forum raised concerns about public transport to the facility, particularly for young people. The presenter noted that CCC is working with Environment Canterbury with a view to having a bus service at the hub. This would improve accessibility.
- The Forum asked about philanthropic contributions to the sports hub. The presenter noted that one of the recommendations of the recent Cameron Partner Report on CCC finances recommended that a development agency be established with the purpose of attracting funding to the city.
- Although there is no central government funding currently allocated to the sports hub, the presenter noted that Sport New Zealand has expressed an interest in the project.
- The Forum noted its support for the Nga Puna Wai sports hub.
- The Forum congratulated the presenter on the superb job CCC had done at bringing together different sporting groups to develop this proposal.
- The Forum noted its support for the sporting organisations that are still waiting for their facilities to be rebuilt and noted that, as well as amateur sports, this includes a number of Olympic sporting codes.
- The Forum understands that Sport New Zealand has an interest in the project, and encourages the Minister for Canterbury Earthquake Recovery to recommend to the Minister for Sport and Recreation that he supports the sports hub.

## 2. General Business

- A member of the Forum noted that a number of members of the public had shared their disappointment about the cost of refreshing Victoria Square, as they consider there is nothing currently wrong with it. The Forum member noted that she shared this disappointment.
- A Forum member also voiced concern that there is no register of earthquake damaged properties that have been remediated and noted that this could potentially be a significant issue for future generations.

Next Meeting – 20 November 2014

eleased under the Official Information Actu 985

## Attachment A





# Location selection

 Initial site selection based on needs for an athletics track with growth potential for sports hub-principles of Metro. Sports Facilities Plan

- •18 sites identified over 4ha across the City
- These sites were analysed and criteria/principles were applied
- 4 sites were considered possibilities including:

Avonhead Park

Nga Puna Wai

- Templeton
- Bexley

Recreation X-Sports Unit





Recreation & Sports Unit



# Why Nga Puna Wai ?

- Hubbing concept-(sporting industry best practice guidelines)
- Size and shape of park
- Reasonably central
- Low impact on other users
- Transport network
- Future growth area of town
- Land quality TC category and contour
- Council owned recreation and sporting reserve

Recreation & Sports Unit



## Stakeholders •A& P Society/Show ton Act 1982 Athletics Canterbury Canterbury Hockey Association/CAST Canterbury Polo Association Canterbury Tennis Canterbury Rugby Canterbury Rugby league •ECan •Halswell Pony Club •Mainland Football Residents Associations Sport Canterbury Sport New Zealand ·NZTA Christchurch City Council **Recreation & Sports Unit**



Christchurch

		land the second s	
Interested Parties	Project QS Masterplan estimate	Funding available	
Stage 1 – Athletics	\$7.78m	CCC - \$6.7m - TYP and possible Insurance contribution Athletics Canterbury - equipment costs	
Stage 2 - Hockey	\$9.67m	Indicative figure - Sconfidential	
Stage 3 – Tennis	\$18.13m	Indicative figure - \$confidential	de la companya
Sport New Zealand Other funding: partners	n/a	indicative figure – \$confidential plus working with other partners to raise money	
Notes: Hockey, Termis & Athletics are Interested in a comolined Stage One and ready to go!	Notes: There are greater synergies & efficiencies in tuiking one steps together, le infrastructure Costs could decrease due to efficienciesvouve engineering and realistic needs of the sporting communities	_ ~~	
Stage 4 – Green sport field development	\$71.88m	CCC Long Term Plans x 3	

**Recreation & Sports Unit** 



**Next Steps** 

- 1. Consultation with the Community via a Special Consultative Procedure
- Work with sporting organisations to determine a stage one delivery model, management and costs
- 3. Investigate alternative funding options (if required)
- 4. Feasibility on a stage one delivery, design and construct
- 5. Get on with it!!!





