



COMMUNITY FORUM

Report to the Minister for
Canterbury Earthquake Recovery

JUNE 2015

Contents

i FOREWORD

ii MESSAGE FROM
THE CHAIR

1 ABOUT THE
COMMUNITY FORUM

3 DELIVERING ON
ITS PURPOSE

5 RECOVERY
OUTCOMES

13 ONGOING
CHALLENGES

15 THE FUTURE OF
THE COMMUNITY FORUM

16 ATTACHMENT A.
COMMUNITY FORUM MEMBERSHIP

20 ATTACHMENT B. MATTERS CONSIDERED AT
COMMUNITY FORUM MEETINGS

Prepared by the Secretariat to
the Community Forum,
June 2015.

Discussions with Community
Forum members, information
from Ministerial documents and
conversations with CERA staff
who have presented items to
the Community Forum have all
contributed to the creation of
this report.

Foreword

from the Associate Minister for Canterbury Earthquake Recovery

It is with great pleasure that I present the first report of the Community Forum. This report reflects on the Community Forum's many achievements and serves as a record for the people of Canterbury.

The Forum was established in 2011 under the Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Act to provide information and advice to the Minister for Canterbury Earthquake Recovery. The Forum represents a wide-range of community perspectives and ensures thorough consideration is given to all aspects of Canterbury's recovery.

The Forum is one of the most important links between the Government and the community and has been involved in every major decision about the recovery.

The Community Forum has provided, and continues to provide, high quality advice to the Minister, the Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Authority, and other central and local agencies. The well-rounded advice and thoughtful feedback we have received from the Forum has been invaluable to the recovery process.

As we move into the next phase of regeneration I trust the Community Forum will continue to positively contribute to the process.

I wish to acknowledge members of the Community Forum, both past and present, who have volunteered their time and energy for this important work. I would also like to acknowledge the families who have supported members throughout this time. I sincerely thank you all for your significant contribution to the recovery of Canterbury.



Hon Nicky Wagner

Associate Minister for
Canterbury Earthquake Recovery

Message from the Chair

In this report, the members of the Community Forum reflect on their work up to 31 May 2015. It serves as a record of the Forum's achievements for the Minister and Associate Minister for Canterbury Earthquake Recovery and the wider community. In this documented form, the Community Forum's experiences and learnings can also be a resource for any recovery work after future major disasters.

By engaging with community representatives, decision makers keep informed about experiences and views at a community level. With this information, they can make change swiftly and in a way that responds to the needs and desires of the community. Since it first met on 7 July 2011, the Community Forum has provided the Minister and Chief Executive of Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Authority (CERA) with this link to the community.

The Community Forum has added considerable value to earthquake recovery work. It offers a wide range of community perspectives and acts as a safe 'sounding board' that gives feedback on proposed

recovery actions. Nearly five years into the recovery, it continues to make an important contribution to the future of Canterbury, with the Minister listening to and acting on its views.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank the Forum members and their families for their remarkable efforts during this difficult time. Membership of the Community Forum has been completely voluntary and has at times demanded significant time and effort. The first four years of Community Forum meetings alone have totalled about 145 hours. On top of that is the time members spent preparing for meetings, including reviewing documents, and travelling to and from meetings. The commitment from the members has been substantial and the results have been worthwhile



Darren Wright
Chair of the Community Forum

About the Community Forum

The Community Forum (the Forum) was established in 2011 to provide information and advice to the Minister and Associate Minister for Canterbury Earthquake Recovery (the Minister and Associate Minister) under section 6 of the Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Act 2011 (CER Act).

In early June 2011, the Minister called on local community groups across Greater Christchurch to nominate members who could represent them in a Community Forum. While membership was originally set at 20 members, that number was significantly increased due to the large number of nominations and the Minister's desire to have a group that was as representative as possible.

The Forum is a well-informed group with wide-ranging interests. Members respect and accept each other's views and have developed a good rapport. The Forum provides the Minister with a considered opinion on any issues that its members believe add value to the recovery process.

The Minister, Associate Minister and the Chief Executive of CERA (the Chief

Executive) and their representatives regularly update the Forum about various issues including insurance, land zoning and recovery strategies. In return, Forum members offer their advice on such matters.

The Community Forum provides:

- the Minister and Associate Minister with well-informed and wide-ranging community perspectives on recovery matters
- a common-sense perspective and 'reality check' for proposed actions
- a very safe and highly valued sounding board for recovery actions.

The Forum also hears from people in the local public sector and gives them feedback on their proposals. Non-government organisations brief the Forum on their areas of expertise and their work to meet any needs that government policies and services do not cover.

When these different groups were first developing their proposals, the Forum provided a valuable sounding board and early guidance. This useful dialogue continued at later meetings. All these discussions help to progress the recovery – especially as long-term thinking becomes an increasingly bigger focus of the Forum.

A key strength of the Forum is that its members are loyal to its mission of advising the Minister and they respect governance protocols. For example, the members unanimously agreed that all of the Forum's media communications should go through the Chair. With this separation of roles, members could concentrate on their task of advising the Minister and confidential information could flow freely during meetings. From February 2012, the Associate Minister (then the Hon Amy Adams) regularly attended Forum meetings. The Associate Minister has responsibility for the Forum so that it receives the Ministerial attention it needs, given its important role. After becoming responsible for the Forum in 2014, the current Associate Minister for Canterbury Earthquake Recovery (Hon Nicky Wagner) attended her first Forum meeting on 19 June of that year.

Community Forum members would like their work to continue to positively contribute to the recovery. They would like their experiences and learnings to continue to make a difference, long after Canterbury has recovered from the earthquakes.

Delivering on its purpose

One of the community's biggest concerns about the recovery has been the perceived delay in progress. By using the Forum as a sounding board, recovery leaders have gained confidence in their decisions to take particular actions, especially where consultation periods have been short, and in this way the Forum has helped to advance the recovery more quickly. In its role as a sounding board, the Forum has:

- broken down technical jargon and bureaucratic language
- increased information sharing and public engagement with agencies
- respected confidentiality of information
- contributed to a robust exchange of different views
- provided the Minister and Associate Minister with an avenue to go ahead with decisions, following agreement or support from the Forum
- prompted the Minister and Associate Minister to consider subsequent actions the Forum has suggested.

As part of the policy development process, decision makers make presentations to the Forum and ask for feedback in confidence on their subject. This feedback is recorded

and advice is forwarded to the Minister and Associate Minister in the form of the minutes of the meetings and briefings. The presenter also receives a copy of the minutes so that those developing the areas of work can be aware of the Forum's feedback.

After speaking to the Forum and hearing its feedback, presenters may brief the Minister, if it is required. The briefings confirm that the presenters have asked for the Forum's advice, explained what that advice is, and made a recommendation.

On several occasions, where appropriate, the Chair of the Community Forum has written directly to the Minister to advise him of the Forum's views.

By providing advice, the Community Forum has made agencies more accountable. The Forum members are not lobbyists for recovery actions and do not have vested interests, so they can ask hard questions. They give presenters a common-sense perspective and provide a 'reality check' on proposed actions. Regular presenters know the Forum members will put them under pressure and so prepare fully before they

present to the Forum. As a result, most presentations are thorough and effective.

The Minister values the way the Forum respects information and keeps it confidential. In turn, the Forum's approach gives the Minister and the Chief Executive the confidence to use their powers under section 27 of the CER Act (including suspending and amending certain statutory provisions), which they are regularly asked to do so that timely and considered recovery actions can be taken.

The Community Forum strengthens the Canterbury earthquake recovery process and helps to make recovery actions happen with considered advice. It balances the need to keep the recovery moving forward with the need to engage with the community and stakeholders.

It holds authorities to account for the actions they propose and take. It has also challenged other key organisations such as the Earthquake Commission (EQC), insurers and local and regional bodies.

Recovery Outcomes

Through its relationships, advice, collaboration and initiative the Community Forum has significantly influenced recovery outcomes. This section highlights some of those key outcomes and other achievements.

An Accessible City rebuild 22 November 2012

ISSUE On 22 November 2012, the Earthquake Disability Leadership Group made a presentation to the Community Forum. It spoke about the need to build an accessible city for all people, including those with disabilities, older people and families, and reported that one in four Cantabrians has a disability. The Earthquake Disability Leadership Group emphasised the importance of considering the growing elderly population when planning, designing and rebuilding a new city.

ACTION The Forum members voiced their support for involving the Barrier Free Trust early in the consenting process. It formally endorsed the work of the disability sector, including its recommendations.

OUTCOME The Barrier Free Trust has undertaken consistent and well-planned audits of the first Anchor Project, Te Papa Ōtākaro/Avon River Precinct. The Christchurch Central Development Unit has also made a commitment to have similar safeguards for other projects. The curriculum for the Art and Design College now includes accessibility.

Earthquake-related land repair: Streamlining consenting requirements 4 July 2013

ISSUE At its meeting on 4 July 2013, the Community Forum heard about a joint-agency proposal to streamline consenting requirements for land owners who want to repair their earthquake-damaged land. This proposal included amendments to the Natural Resources Regional Plan, the proposed Land and Water Regional Plan, the Waimakariri River Regional Plan and the Christchurch City District Plan.

ACTION The presenters asked the Forum for feedback on the possibility of using section 27 of the CER Act for this purpose. The Forum gave feedback at the meeting, and then wrote to CERA on 10 July 2013 supporting the proposed amendments. The Forum's concerns were also forwarded to the multi-agency communications group for it to consider.

CERA briefed the Minister about the proposed amendments and included the Forum's feedback in the briefing paper. In particular, the Forum advised it would like further information on how land repair activities will be monitored. Christchurch City Council and Environment Canterbury's Waste and Environmental Management Team also recognised this need and, as a result, they both now provide additional compliance monitoring and overall monitoring of land repair works.

OUTCOME On 20 September 2013, the Minister agreed to use his powers under section 27 of the CER Act 2011 to amend the Natural Resources Regional Plan, the proposed Land and Water Regional Plan, the Waimakariri River Regional Plan and the Christchurch City District Plan. The amendments came into effect on 10 October 2013.

Land Use Recovery Plan 5 September and 17 October 2013

ISSUE At its meetings on 5 September 2013 and 17 October 2013, the Community Forum had presentations on the proposed amendments to the draft Land Use Recovery Plan (LURP).

ACTION On 4 November 2013, the Forum wrote to the Minister outlining its support for the concept of residential intensification (fitting more homes in an area of land). It also advised him of its concerns about the draft LURP. In particular, the Forum was concerned that:

- the LURP did not link in closely enough with the Christchurch Central Recovery Plan
- intensified housing areas may not include living space
- the proposed high concentration of housing could have an impact on infrastructure
- the public had not had enough opportunity to have a say on the proposals.

The Minister replied on 19 November 2013, noting these concerns and thanking the Forum for its valuable comments.

OUTCOME On 5 December 2013, the Minister released a Report on Decisions Made in Approving the Land Use Recovery Plan – Te Mahere Whakahaumanu Tāone. In the report, the Minister detailed the Forum's views and considered its concerns. The Minister approved the LURP on 6 December 2013, when it came into effect.

Revocation of Proposed Change 1 to the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement 7 November 2013

ISSUE At the meeting on 7 November 2013, the Community Forum heard about Environment Canterbury's request to revoke Proposed Change 1 (PC1) of the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement. It made this request as PC1 would effectively be replaced by the new Chapter 6 of the Statement, which was proposed as part of the draft LURP.

ACTION The Forum supported the proposal to revoke PC1 as a way of providing greater certainty. It also understood the complexity of having redundant provisions still in process before the Environment Court. It considered that it was important the local authorities had been consulted on the use of section 27 to revoke PC1, in particular Christchurch City Council, so that the central and local government approach was 'joined up'.

CERA advised the Minister on the Community Forum's support for revoking PC1 and its views on consultation with the local authorities.

OUTCOME On 5 December 2013, the Minister used his powers under section 27 of the CER Act to revoke PC1 of the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement. The revocation was publicly notified and took effect from 9 December 2013.

Lyttelton recovery options 3 April 2014

ISSUE On 3 April 2014, the Community Forum had a presentation on two options for the recovery of Lyttelton: either developing a Recovery Plan or using the existing Resource Management Act 1991 processes.

ACTION The Forum stated that overall it preferred the Recovery Plan option. One of its reasons for this support was that developing the Recovery Plan would be an opportunity for greater community involvement and consultation, as well as for considering a range of recovery needs. The Forum asked about community engagement and noted that it was important for the community to have confidence in any consultation process.

The Minister was briefed on the Forum's preference and its advice about the importance of a strong consultation process.

OUTCOME On 19 June 2014, the Minister announced his decision to direct Environment Canterbury and Lyttelton Port Company to prepare a Lyttelton Port Recovery Plan. There must be consultation in both the first stage led by Lyttelton Port Company and the development stage led by Environment Canterbury.

Community in Mind Strategy and Shared Programme of Action

3 April 2014

ISSUE

At the Community Forum meeting on 3 April 2014, the Community Wellbeing Planners Group presented the Community in Mind Strategy for Psychosocial Recovery and Shared Programme of Action. The Group invited the Forum to give feedback on its communications and engagement approach to the Strategy.

ACTION

The Forum suggested some useful channels of communication to reach community groups and members of other agencies. This information was included in the Communications and Engagement Plan for the Strategy and Programme of Action.

The Forum also provided feedback about initiatives linked to the Strategy that had a positive response from communities. It noted a particular success had been the tools from the 'All Right?' campaign that have been available in the community.

OUTCOME

On 19 June 2014, the Associate Minister launched the Community in Mind Strategy. A number of Forum members attended.

Land Use Recovery Plan – Action 25 – Waimakariri District Council proposed changes to the District Plan for business areas

19 June 2014

ISSUE At the meeting on 19 June 2014, Waimakariri District Council briefed the Community Forum on Action 25 of the Land Use Recovery Plan. It described its proposed amendments to the Waimakariri District Plan along with the public engagement that it had undertaken. The Council asked the Forum for feedback on the proposed amendments and whether it considered any further public consultation was necessary on the proposed changes.

ACTION The Forum said it did not consider any further public consultation was necessary. The Minister was advised of the Forum's view.

OUTCOME On 17 July 2014, the Minister wrote to the Mayor of Waimakariri District directing, in line with section 24 of the CER Act, that Waimakariri District Council should use a Council resolution to make the proposed changes to the Waimakariri District Plan.

Other recovery outcomes

Residential Advisory Service

The Forum was instrumental in establishing RAS to support people to work through home repair or rebuild issues with private insurers or EQC. Their advice on the perceived independence of the RAS saw the Chair of the Community Forum join the governance of RAS. The Forum wrote to both the Chief Executive of EQC and the Minister about the limits placed on people's access to RAS while they were in dispute with EQC and as a result EQC clarified its policy.

Christchurch Convention Centre Precinct

At first, some Forum members thought a Convention Centre was unnecessary for Canterbury. However, after listening to each other and discussing the benefits, a substantial majority reached agreement to support the Convention Centre and they advised the Minister of this majority agreement.

Sports and Recreation Recovery Programme

After their first presentation on the Programme, the Forum advised the presenters to further consider and consult with stakeholders about it. Following advice from the Minister, Associate Minister and Forum, the presenters improved the content of the Programme.

Draft local alcohol policy

The Forum volunteered its concerns the draft local alcohol policy, a topical issue by making a representation to the Christchurch City Council. They continue to monitor the policy's development.

Land Use Recovery Plan Actions

Evaluating and advising on Land Use Recovery Plan Actions is a routine part of the Forum's work. This role had not originally been planned for the Forum, but going to the Forum has become a key step in the approval process for groups working on the Actions.

Advisory Board on Transition

The Forum's contribution to the recovery process resulted in the Chair of the Community Forum being invited to join the Advisory Board on Transition as a community member of the Board.

Ongoing Challenges

The Community Forum has faced several challenges over the past four years which have included:

Balancing confidentiality with public engagement

Due to confidentiality the Community Forum is often not able to share a large amount of its work with the general public, which has not met some members' expectations. This level of confidentiality has also led to delays in the public release of minutes from meetings and the redaction of large parts of those minutes. Some of the Forum's work is confidential because it receives information and gives advice on plans and strategies not yet approved by the Minister. The early dissemination of this information may harm the decision-making process. This makes it difficult for the members who want to reassure the community that their best interests are being advocated for and to maintain faith in the recovery process. The Community Forum believes the public would benefit from understanding the work it undertakes.

Future Community Forums should consider how they inform the community about their work. The Community Forum believes more information could be shared with the community without jeopardising its confidences. The Minister would also need to be assured this is the case. Carefully balancing confidentiality with the desire to publicise information is important. Currently, the only official community communication comes from meeting notes, redacted in accordance with the Official Information Act 1982, which are proactively released on CERA's website. Suggestions for ways to communicate with the community include the distribution of a monthly newsletter for the community to read. It could include recovery facts and non-confidential information about what is happening at the Forum and with the recovery in general. The Community Forum has confidence these changes would make the group even more effective and valuable to future recovery process.

Membership refresh

The Forum has always been mindful that its members need to be broadly representative of the community. A particular concern for the Forum has been, and continues to be, to represent and engage youth, and to have consistent representation from Ngāi Tahu. In the future, it will be important to include mana whenua, as a Treaty partner, in the Forum in a number of different ways, rather than relying on a single delegate to represent them.

The Forum has also advised that, as membership is voluntary, members must have support through an induction and mentoring programme.

Setting regular meeting agendas

CERA officials often use Community Forum meetings as an important way of gathering community advice. Given the demands of the recovery effort to date, many agenda items have come from CERA officials and there has been less time for presentations on topics the Forum has requested. As the volume and demand of CERA's work reduce, the Forum will look to take greater control of its own meeting agendas and drive further the topics it considers relevant to the recovery.

The future of the Community Forum

With the CER Act set to expire in April 2016, arrangements are being made to make the transition to a new approach to recovery work so that progress can continue. The Community Forum has discussed its own future and how it can be part of the recovery work in the new arrangements.

If ministerial powers continue to be exercised in greater Christchurch beyond April 2016 the Community Forum, or other appropriate local community and business group/s, would be in a good position to advise the Minister. A review of any new Community Forum or other structure established as part of the transition works or other existing community structures would determine which body or entity might be best placed to provide local advice and hold to account the exercise of extraordinary ministerial powers.

If part or all of the Ministerial powers are transferred to any other agency after April 2016, then the Community Forum recommends that this new agency considers engaging with either the Community Forum or an equivalent group as set out above.

Another question to consider is how the Community Forum or a similar structure may serve those taking on a lead role in the next phase of the recovery of greater Christchurch. Again these may be existing groups such as the Mayoral Forum or new 'leadership' agencies.

Attachment A.

Community forum membership

Almost 40 members attended the Community Forum's first meeting. The Forum brought together representatives from a diverse range of community interests. It immediately began hearing presentations and updates and providing advice to the Minister.

Because of its initial size and the Minister's clear desire for the Forum to decide on its own way of operating, the Forum faced significant challenges in its early days. The first year of operation represented a time of evolution of the Forum, when members developed codes of practice and levels of trust both with each other and between the Forum and those presenting to it. Presenters often found the experience of making presentations challenging due to the level of confidential information they shared with the Forum.

At first, the Forum had working group sessions in which members broke into five groups that reflected the five themes of the Recovery Strategy for Greater Christchurch and the needs of the community. As

the recovery progressed, the Forum's membership became smaller, which helped with constructive discussion and decision-making.

During August 2011, the Community Forum formed a more cohesive unit. It established an Executive and Chair to lead meetings and set agendas in consultation with CERA staff. Key to the Forum's success was an agreement that it did not need to reach a consensus about the advice it gave to the Minister. Diversity in views was encouraged and any differences were reported to the Minister.

Today, the Community Forum has members numbering in the mid twenties. After the local body elections of 2013, Councillor Phil Clearwater of Christchurch City Council began regularly attending meetings and has been kept informed of all Forum works and considerations.

Current members of the Community Forum

as at 31 March 2015

Area of focus	Member(s)
Diversity	
Disability	Ruth Jones QSM Emma Twaddell
Ethnic Communities	Weng Kei Chen QSM (Chinese) Siong Sah (John) Wong (Malaysian-Chinese)
Māori	Ruth Jones QSM (Ngāti Porou)
Pasifika	Maria Godinet-Watts QSM (Samoan) Patricia Siataga (Samoan)
Social	
Arts	Deborah McCormick (Visual Arts)
CanCERN	Darren Wright Tom McBrearty
Education	Trevor McIntyre Patricia Siataga Jocelyn Pappriill
Environment and Sustainability	Jocelyn Pappriill Faye Parfitt Dr John Peet Emma Twaddell
Sports and Recreation	Lesley Murdoch MBE
Non Government Organisations	Leah Carr (Save the Children)

Area

Northern Suburbs	Ruth Jones QSM (Papanui) Brian Vieceleli (Northwood) Emma Twaddle (St Albans)
Eastern Suburbs	Maria Godinet-Watts QSM (Woolston)
Southern Suburbs	Richard Ballantyne CNZM (Huntsbury) Faye Parfitt (Halswell)
Western Suburbs	Weng Kei Chen QSM (Burnside) Trevor McIntyre (Fendalton) Siong Sah (John) Wong (Burnside)
Port Hills	Wendy Gilchrist (Port Hills) Jocelyn Papprell (Mt Pleasant) Darren Wright (Sumner) Lesley Murdoch MBE (Sumner)
Selwyn District	Faye Parfitt (Prebbleton) Dr John Peet (Arthurs Pass)
Waimakariri District	Tom McBrearty
Youth	Trevor McIntyre Jocelyn Papprell Patricia Siataga

Profession

Commercial/Financial Services	Leah Carr (Strategy) Siong Sah (John) Wong (Accountant) Richard Ballantyne CNZM (Accountant) Gill Cox (Accountant)
Hospitality	Brian Vieceleli
Horticulture	Rachel Vogan
Engineering	Weng Kei Chen QSM Dr John Peet
Property	Martin Evans Faye Parfitt
Retail	Richard Ballantyne CNZM
Other	Tom McBrearty

Past members of the Community Forum

Area of focus	Member(s)
Diversity	
Youth	Joseph Chamberlain
Disability	Ross Brereton
Social	
CanCERN	Leanne Curtis Brian Parker
Sports and Recreation	Belinda Charteris
Area	
Eastern Suburbs	Lynne Ball Rachel Fonotia
Clifton Hill	Sally Ansley
Horseshoe Lake	Glenda Burt
Kaiapoi	Linton Gray
Waimakariri District	Peter Jenkins
Profession	
Business	Bruce Russell Steve Wakefield Paul Lonsdale Marty Braithwaite
Ngāi Tahu	Walter Stone
Education	Dr Suzanne Vallance
Richmond NZ Trust	Kath Fox

During the early stages of 2015 two new members were added to the Forum, Amanda Williams and Betty Chapman.

Attachment B.

Matters considered at Community Forum meetings

The CER Act requires the Community Forum to meet six times a year. In late 2011, the Community Forum resolved to increase this number to the first and third Thursday of every month so it could hear all of the presentations that presenters were asking to make. As a result of this decision, the Forum has met significantly more often than the statutory requirement.

Calendar year	Number of meetings
2011 (part year)	9
2012	17
2013	19
2014	20
2015 (to 31 May)	8

The Forum has considered many matters at its meetings. These can be summarised in four categories:

- matters on which presenters were asking for the Forum's advice to the Minister and the CERA Chief Executive
- discussion topics that the Community Forum generated
- information and updates from CERA on a number of matters
- agenda items from other government agencies and external agencies that were asking for the Community Forum's feedback.

Requests for advice to the Minister and CERA Chief Executive

A number of agenda items were matters where presenters were asking for the Community Forum's advice to the Minister for Canterbury Earthquake Recovery and the Chief Executive of CERA. For these matters, under the CER Act, the Minister and Chief Executive must consider this advice.

Getting such information and advice from the Forum is also an invaluable way for the Minister to gain a range of community perspectives on proposals. The Forum highly values public consultation where possible, but is also conscious of the need to keep up the momentum in the recovery for the people of greater Christchurch. For several of these agenda items, the Forum has been asked whether it considers that adequate public engagement has been carried out.

These items also provided an opportunity for CERA to test the direction of the recovery actions it is taking on behalf of the Minister and Associate Minister.

Date of meeting	Subject of agenda item
3 November 2011	Land zoning
5 July 2012	Central city rebuild
19 July 2012	Insurance, central city 100-day plan (The Blueprint)
1 November 2012	Treasury review of the Earthquake Commission Act 1993
7 February 2013	Draft Land Use Recovery Plan
4 April 2013	Draft Sports and Recreation Recovery Programme
4 July 2013	Land repair consenting
15 August 2013	Residential Advisory Service
5 September 2013	Draft Land Use Recovery Plan
17 October 2013	Land Use Recovery Plan intensification, proposed changes to Christchurch City District Plan
7 November 2013	Planning and Community Mapping Tool Set (PACT), possible revocation of Proposed Change 1
6 March 2014	Residential Advisory Service
20 March 2014	Christchurch Central Recovery Plan

3 April 2014	Build Ready initiative, Lyttelton recovery, Community in Mind
19 June 2014	Christchurch Hospital redevelopment, EQC land repair consenting, Land Use Recovery Plan Action 25
17 July 2014	Land Use Recovery Plan Actions 46, 47 and 48
7 August 2014	Land Use Recovery Plan Actions 18 and 27(iv), Metro Sports Facility
21 August 2014	Future use of residential red zone in Waimakariri
2 October 2014	Land Use Recovery Plan Actions 4 and 6
20 November 2014	A Liveable City
29 January 2015	Land Use Recovery Plan Actions 26, 27 and 46
10 April 2015	Residential red zone offer recovery plan
19 March 2015	Lyttelton Port Recovery Plan consultation period
21 May 2015	Land Use Recovery Plan Action 21

Discussion that the Community Forum generated

Community Forum members suggested the agenda items listed in the table below. Their purpose was to get updated information on these topics and to seek a range of perspectives about community initiatives being undertaken.

The opportunity to learn about aspects of recovery from the different sectors and perspectives that the Community Forum members represent is very valuable. It is helpful not only for the members themselves, but also for CERA and the Minister. The updates on how the earthquakes have affected different communities, and their progress and challenges in the recovery, give the Forum a wide-angled lens when providing advice to the Minister.

Date of meeting	Subject of agenda item
4 August 2011	Temporary accommodation assistance, Recovery Strategy for Greater Christchurch
1 September 2011	Presentation from EQC
20 September 2012	Presentation from EQC
18 October 2012	Presentation from Fletchers, presentation update on Save the Children Fund, presentation update on business sector
6 December 2012	Crown offer settlement deadline
24 January 2013	Update from Ethnic Community and Christchurch City Council, Canterbury Property Investors Association
7 February 2013	Christchurch/Canterbury business perspective
21 February 2013	Education update
21 March 2013	Community connectivity update, Waimakariri update, residential red zone offers
4 April 2013	Disabled community update
18 April 2013	Community activities, Pacific Islands community in the recovery
2 May 2013	Sustainable Otautahi Christchurch, Halswell and Selwyn, EQC update
6 June 2015	St Albans Residents' Association, recovery in the Selwyn district, hospitality sector
4 July 2013	Draft Local Alcohol Policy's impact on the hospitality industry
18 July 2013	Overview of the Justice of the Peace role and the Foochow Association

15 August 2013	Updates on SCAPE, CanCERN and TC3 issues
17 October 2013	Updates/information on Mt Pleasant, youth and the nursery and garden industry
7 November 2013	Update on Committee for Canterbury and the Flood Focus Group outcomes
20 February 2014	Update on CanCERN campaign
5 March 2015	Update on tourism in Canterbury from Canterbury Tourism
19 March 2015	Community in Mind update from CERA
16 April 2015	Education update from the Ministry of Education
7 May 2015	Presentation on the northern transport system from Christchurch City Council, Environment Canterbury, New Zealand Transport Agency and Waimakariri District Council
21 May 2015	Update on transition arrangements from CERA

Matters from other agencies asking for the Community Forum's feedback

Date of meeting	Subject of agenda item
1 September 2011	Insurance and Savings Ombudsman's office, Prime Minister's Fund - the Christchurch Earthquake Appeal
11 October 2011	Community engagement communications strategy of councils
15 December 2011	Christchurch City Council CEO's perspective on status of recovery (CEO Tony Marryatt)
7 June 2012	Ministry of Education Canterbury Education Renewal Plan Workshop
22 November 2012	Sport and recreation, disability sector
6 December 2012	CanCERN update, arts sector
16 May 2013	Natural Environment Recovery Programme (Environment Canterbury)
6 June 2013	TC3 residents' group
1 August 2013	Youth sector work update – Canterbury Youth Workers Collective Hospitality sector update – Bruce Garret and Peter Morrison
19 September 2013	Future Hub - BNZ
7 November 2013	Heritage Buildings and Places Recovery Programme – Ministry for Culture and Heritage and NZ Historic Places Trust
5 December 2013	Strategic redevelopment of fire stations – NZ Fire Service
20 March 2014	Commercial tenancies in the central city – Colliers International
17 April 2014	Reflections on recovery 3.5 years on and role of the Forum – Professor Bruce Glavovic
1 May 2014	Christchurch City Council priorities – Mayor of Christchurch
3 July 2014	Draft Arts and Culture Recovery Programme – Ministry for Culture and Heritage
17 July 2014	Sports and Recreation Recovery Programme update – Sport Canterbury
4 September 2014	Metro Bus Service Review – Environment Canterbury Non-governmental Organisations – One voice Te Reo Kotahi
6 November 2014	Nga Puna Wai, Southwest Sports Hub – Christchurch City Council
20 November 2014	Parking plan update
4 December 2014	Stronger Christchurch Infrastructure Rebuild Team update

Information and updates from CERA

By presenting updates and information, CERA could test the proposed recovery actions with the Community Forum. The Forum could then share the information with its communities.

Date of meeting	Subject of agenda item
4 August 2011	Draft Recovery Strategy for Greater Christchurch, CERA activities update, geotechnical analysis, land availability, insurance, demolitions
1 September 2011	Land zoning, land availability, insurance, communications, recovery strategy, CERA second-tier appointments
15 September 2011	Draft Recovery Strategy, roles and responsibilities of agencies involved in the recovery
3 November 2011	Cathedral, Re:START
17 November 2011	Insurance, summer events, land zoning, Port Hills
2 February 2012	'Pop-up' picnics and outdoor movies, retirement villages in the red zone, land zoning, demolitions
16 February 2012	Zoning, planning, community wellbeing, building operations, infrastructure
1 March 2012	Community Wellbeing team's purpose and workstreams
29 March 2012	Housing
19 April 2012	Zoning, central city operations, infrastructure
16 August 2012	Christchurch Central Development Unit (CCDU), red zone, insurance, TC3 land, suburban plans, Immigrant Workers Programme, Port Hills
20 September 2012	Economic recovery
4 October 2012	Education Renewal Plan
6 December 2012	CCDU, summer events
21 February 2013	Building and maintaining confidence in the rebuild, residential repair and rebuild, action on central Christchurch rebuild, strengthening community resilience, funding the rebuild
18 July 2013	Central city rebuild update
15 August 2013	CERA priorities and structure
5 September 2013	Quake Outcasts and Port Hills Zoning High Court judgment and its implications

19 September 2013	Te Papa Ōtākaro/Avon River Precinct and North and East Frame progress update
5 December 2013	Recent recovery milestones progress update
30 January 2014	The Forum's role under the CER Act CERA's draft strategic priorities
15 May 2014	Anchor projects update The Recovery Lookbook
3 July 2014	2013 Youth Wellbeing Survey results
21 August 2014	An Accessible City update
4 September 2014	Community Forum Rotation update CERA transition matters
18 September 2014	Amendments to the Christchurch Central Recovery Plan
4 December 2014	Performing Arts Precinct update
5 March 2015	An Accessible City update

Published on behalf of the Community Forum
August 2015

www.cera.govt.nz/community-forum